

Custodians of the Hag Amr-bil-Ma'roof Nahi-anil-Munkar

The Haq Sounds Like Hate To Those Who Hate The Haq

"Speak the Haq even if it is bitter" (Hadith)

E-mail: amrbilmaroof@custodiansofthehaq.co.za Website: www.custodiansofthehaq.co.za

3 Rabi-ul-Awwal 1442 / 20 October 2020

The Sad State Of The Masaajid In The Country Sides Of SA – *Lamentable!*

A brother residing in the country-side of SA has rightfully penned down the lamentable condition of the Masaajid in the following letter which we have reproduced verbatim hereunder with our brief comments in bold font in brackets. Insha Allah, if Allah wills and time permits, we shall issue more articles on this issue. This is not the only complaint we have seen.

The brother writes:

"This correspondence is addressed directly to JUSA and it's affiliates

Throughout the initial stages of the pandemic and subsequent Lockdown in South Africa JUSA was proud to continuously announce that it is United. (JUSA is a member of UUCSA. In the court case, UUCSA was disunited where two of their members disagreed to participate in opposing the Masjid court case. Hence, their (UUCSA's and JUSA's) unity appears to be only on paper, i.e. in writing – Custodians of the Haq)

In several newsletters they pronounced their superiority and mentioned the many organizations supporting them. (The whole world's fraudsters can unite, join and support a particular country's fraudsters. Will this be laudable? Will this mean anything? Does this mean that to be a fraudster is correct since the particular country's fraudsters have the whole world's support? In Deeni matters, we are governed by the Shari'ah. And we have to judge by the Shari'ah. So if hundreds and thousands of Muslims show their support for an entity that is known to oppose and disobey the Shari'ah, does that make the wrong right? The harped term of 'majority' has no basis if it goes against the Shari'ah. Majority of the people in the world are non-Muslims. Does that make (Nauthu-Billah) Islaam a wrong religion? So even if many organisations support JUSA, it doesn't justify their crimes against the Shari'ah!)

Fast forward the scene to Newsletter dated 27 September 2020 issued by JUSA wherein they state different opinions should be allowed and provision should be made in Masaajids for those groups of people who wish to perform Fard Salaah with Jamaat standing Shoulder to Shoulder. (There is no difference of opinion in the 1400 old Sunnah of performing Salaah in Jamaat. The golden 1400 year old Sunnah is to stand shoulder-to-shoulder. Severe warnings are sounded in the Ahaadith for those who leave gaps in the Saffs. History has seen far more devastating genuine pandemics,

which claimed the lives of thousands per day. Yet, no Sahaabi, Taabi', Mufti or Aalim advocated any 'new Sunnah' for Salaah and dubbed it a 'different opinion' manner of Salaah with Jamaat. From whence have JUSA latched on this 'different opinions' from? Difference of opinion in Deeni matters is acceptable when it is rooted in the Adillah-e-Arba'ah (the four sources of proof in the Shari'ah). And that is where the differences of the four Mazhabs are based on. Not on 'hot-air', or 'fresh-air', or based on a Kuffar/atheist government's man-made protocols!)

Many people were happy to hear that Hamidia Musjid in Newtown Jhb (Ml E Bham the Imaam)was now open for this group of Musalees.

However the joy was shortlived as the country towns were not going to open up.

Their so called Unity has not filtered through to local town jamaats.

These jamaats are now going against Unity.

They are defying their Parent Body.

These Trustees, their Ulaama and even the Tabligh Jamaat Brothers are continuously enforcing the ban. Even their (Tabligh Jamaat) Ml A S Khatani has vehemently opposed distancing, then too the Tabligh Brothers are not uniting behind him.

The entire life these brothers have propagated and emphasised that (bring the life of Nabi saw) in you, today 1 pandemic and all their teachings thrown out the window.

The Jamiat in Joburg and their Ulaama including Ml Ragie call for Unity than where is the Unity if Local Town Jamaats are not adhering to the directive of this guideline issued by JUSA as mentioned earlier.

The Ulaama in these towns are Puppets and Scholars for Dollars.

They have No Say at all in the affairs of the Masaajids.

They are merely appointed to perform Fard Salaah, give Neutral Jumuah Bayaans perform Nikah ceremonies and Perform the Janaazah. (These 'Ulama' should not treat the Imaamat as merely a job. Rather, it is an Amaanat (Divine Trust). Imaams and Ulama are supposed to present the Shari'ah and enforce its Laws to the Trustees. The Trustees are supposed to live under the Imaams and Ulama. The Ulama are supposed to be allowed to give talks of Islaah, Haq and Baatil – where the community's shortcomings are reformed, Haq is proclaimed and Baatil is exposed.)

There are several towns that have satellite Jamiat offices operating with the Lillah of the layman in the street, these offices cannot ensure that the Trustees follow JUSA guidelines. (Therefore, we have stated in past articles that once the community stops contributing to JUSA and company, then Insha Allah, the 'dry-pockets' will force them to perhaps change. Other senior Ulama have also stated that none should contribute to JUSA and company.)

Unfortunately a Sorry state of Affairs

Cry the beloved Muslim Ummah"

(End of the brother's letter)